

Multinational Study Shows Public Support for Policies and Laws Against Weight Discrimination

New York, New York, December 2, 2015—Government policies and laws against weight discrimination have broad public support in four nations where this form of bias is prevalent, according to a new multinational study by the Rudd Center for Food Policy & Obesity at the University of Connecticut.

The findings of the [study](#), published today in *The Milbank Quarterly*, suggest that a key condition needed to foster policy change—strong public support—is present in the United States and three other countries surveyed.

“As these countries offer little or no protection for people who experience weight discrimination, we hope that our findings will stimulate policy discourse about remedies to address these inequities on a broader level,” said Rebecca Puhl, Ph.D., the study’s lead author, professor in the Department of Human Development and Family Studies at UConn, and Deputy Director of the Rudd Center.

Public support was strongest for workplace laws that would prohibit employers from discriminating against people based on their weight status, the study showed. Women and individuals with higher weight expressed the greatest support.

The study, which surveyed 2,866 adults in the United States, Canada, Iceland and Australia, is the first to examine public support in multiple countries for government legal measures to address weight discrimination.

Specific findings include:

- At least two-thirds of adults across the four countries expressed support for laws that would make it illegal for employers to refuse to hire, assign lower wages, deny promotions, or terminate qualified employees because of body weight.
- Majorities of respondents in the U.S., Canada and Australia expressed support for adding anti-weight discrimination measures to existing human rights laws.
- Proposed laws that received the least support were those that would consider obesity as a disability and extend disability protections to individuals with obesity.

“Weight discrimination is a social injustice and a public health issue that remains widespread,” Dr. Puhl said. “Understanding the public mindset about this problem is critical to help identify what kinds of policy actions should be prioritized.”

About *The Milbank Quarterly*

Continuously published since 1923, *The Milbank Quarterly* features peer-reviewed original research, policy review, and analysis from academics, clinicians, and policymakers. The *Quarterly's* multidisciplinary approach and commitment to applying the best empirical research to practical policymaking offer in-depth assessments of the social, economic, historical, legal, and ethical dimensions of health and health care policy. *The Milbank Quarterly* is published in March, June, September, and December on behalf of the Milbank Memorial Fund by John Wiley & Sons. www.milbank.org/the-milbank-quarterly